IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BEAUMONT DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	Civil No. 1:19-cv-00251
	§	
\$377,000.00 IN UNITED STATES	§	
CURRENCY,	§	
Defendant.	§	

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR FORFEITURE IN REM

In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. Supplemental Rule G(2), the United States of America, Plaintiff, brings this complaint and alleges as follows:

Nature of the Action

1. This is an action to forfeit property to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C).

Defendant In Rem

2. The defendant property is \$377,000.00 in United States Currency (Defendant Property). Agents with Homeland Security Investigations - Department of Homeland Security seized the Defendant Property on February 19, 2019. The Defendant Property is currently in the custody of the U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

Jurisdiction and Venue

3. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over an action commenced by the United States pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1345, and over an action for forfeiture pursuant to

28 U.S.C. § 1355(a).

- 4. The Court has in rem jurisdiction over the Defendant Property pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1355(b)(1)(A) because acts or omissions giving rise to the forfeiture occurred in this district.
- 5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1355(b)(1)(A) because acts or omissions giving rise to the forfeiture occurred in this district.

Basis for Forfeiture

- 6. The Defendant Property is subject to forfeiture pursuant to:
- a. 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) because it constitutes (i) money, negotiable instruments, securities and other things of value furnished and intended to be furnished in exchange for a controlled substance in violation of the Controlled Substances Act; (ii) proceeds traceable to such an exchange; or (iii) money, negotiable instruments, and securities used and intended to be used to facilitate a violation of the Controlled Substances Act, and
- b. 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) because it is property, real or personal, constituting or derived from proceeds traceable to a violation of any offense constituting "specified unlawful activity" or a conspiracy to commit such offense.

<u>Facts</u>

7. On 2/19/2019 HSI SAC Dallas TFOs D. Cortes, M. Spears, C. Halfmann, P. Harris, and DFW Detective B. Becker were notified by Las Vegas Metro Police that TSA had issued a Bulk Cash Notification that Mr. Daurence BROOKS had been discovered to be traveling with approximately \$380K dollars he had claimed to be casino

winnings. TFO Spears encountered BROOKS on the A33 jet bridge with Marjai COOKS as they were running upon exiting the plane. TFO Spears initiated a consensual encounter. COOKS continued up the jet bridge and a consensual contact with her was initiated by TFO Halfmann. BROOKS appeared nervous and was visibly sweating. BROOKS said he had been in Las Vegas and won money on sport bets. BROOKS said he was carrying about \$200K. BROOKS consented to search. TFO Spears located stacks of money concealed in the backpack. BROOKS said that he was a homebuilder and the he had been building homes for about 1 year. BROOKS stated that he and his wife also own a Reality company in Beaumont. BROOKS said that his company is called, "Brooks Home Reality". BROOKS said that he currently had approximately 10 to 15 homes under management with the reality company. BROOKS said that the "Brooks Home Reality" has been around for about a year and a half. BROOKS said that he buys, sells, rents and builds homes. TFO Spears attempted to locate the business on social media and could not find a business with that name in the Beaumont area. TFO Spears asked BROOKS if his reality company was run out of a P.O. Box, virtual office or from his residence. BROOKS said that the "Brooks Home Reality" company has an actual store front in a building with a sign. BROOKS said that he and his wife also own two daycare businesses in the Beaumont area. TFO Spears asked BROOKS if he and his wife filed their federal income taxes together or separately. BROOKS advised that he believed that they file jointly. TFO Spears asked BROOKS how much he had claimed on his 2018 Federal Income Taxes. BROOKS hesitated a few seconds and then said that he did not know and that his wife Mira BROOKS had handled that. TFO Spears then asked

BROOKS for a simple estimate of the amount claimed for tax years 2016, 2017 or 2018. BROOKS again said that he has no idea of what he had made or claimed for those years. BROOKS has a criminal history for burglary, drugs, federal probation, and a past parole (Texas). BROOKS was unable to produce a source for the currency prior to Vegas or remember his IRS income tax reported income for the last few years. BROOKS believed he filed jointly, with his wife, on his IRS income tax returns and said his wife would have to be contacted to answer those questions. BROOKS did not have any tax documents for his alleged winnings in Vegas.

Due to the facts and circumstances collected at the scene, BROOKS criminal history, inconsistent statements from BROOKS and COOKS, and an inability to legitimize the currency TFO Spears advised BROOKS the currency was going to be seized based on suspicion he believed the funds were derived from illegal activity and or money laundering, violations of 18 USC 981(a)(1), 18 USC 1956(c)(7), 18 USC 1952, and 18 USC 1961(1).

Potential Claimants

- 8. The only known potential claimants to the Defendant Property are:
 - a. Daurence Everett Brooks, represented by: Geoffrey G. Nathan Attorney at Law
 132 Boylston Street 5th FL.
 Boston, MA 02116
 - b. Mira Brooks739 Avenue DPort Neches, Texas 77651

c. Marjai Cooks739 Avenue DPort Neches, Texas 77651

Claim for Relief

9. The United States respectfully requests that the Court forfeit the Defendant Property to the United States, award costs and disbursements in this action to the United States, and order any other relief that the Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

JOSEPH D. BROWN UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

MICHAEL W. LOCKHART
Assistant United States Attorney
Eastern District of Texas
Texas Bar No. 12472200
350 Magnolia Avenue, Suite 150
Beaumont, Texas 77701-2237
(409) 839-2538
(409) 839-2643 (fax)
michael.lockhart@usdoj.gov

VERIFICATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1746

I, Hugo Torres, hereby state that:

- 1. I am a Special Agent with Homeland Security Investigations.
- 2. I have read this Complaint, and the information contained herein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
- 3. The information contained in this Complaint comes from the official files and records of the United States, statements from other law enforcement officers, and my investigation of this case.

I state and verify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Hugo Torres Special Agent

Homeland Security Investigations

Dated: June 11, 2019.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BEAUMONT DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	No. 1:19-cv-00251
	§	
\$377,000.00 IN UNITED STATES	§	
CURRENCY,	§	
Defendant.	§	

ORDER FOR WARRANT OF ARREST IN REM

TO: The Clerk of the Court, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas

WHEREAS, on June 11, 2019, the United States of America filed a verified complaint for civil forfeiture in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against the defendant property, alleging that the property is subject to seizure and civil forfeiture to the United States for the reasons alleged in the complaint; and

WHEREAS, the Court, having reviewed the Complaint and the Government's Application for Warrant of Arrest in Rem, finds, the defendant property is currently in the possession, custody, or control of the United States; and

WHEREAS, Supplemental Rule G(3)(b)(i) provides that the clerk must issue a warrant to arrest the property if it is in the government's possession, custody, or control;

YOU ARE, THEREFORE, HEREBY COMMANDED to issue an arrest warrant in rem for the defendant property pursuant to Supplemental Rule G(3)(b)(i); and

YOU ARE FURTHER COMMANDED to deliver the arrest warrant in rem to a

person or organization authorized to execute it who may be a marshal or any other United States officer or employee, someone under contract with the United States, or someone specially appointed by the Court for that purpose, pursuant to Supplemental Rule G(3)(c)(i).

IT IS SO ORDERED,

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BEAUMONT DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	No. 1:19-cv-00251
	§	
\$377,000.00 IN UNITED STATES	§	
CURRENCY	§	
Defendant.	§	

WARRANT OF ARREST IN REM

TO: The U. S. Customs and Border Protection for the Eastern District of Texas and/or any other United States officer or employee, someone under contract with the United States, or someone specially appointed by the court.

On June 11, 2019, the United States filed a verified complaint for civil forfeiture in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against \$377,000.00 in United States currency (defendant property) alleging that the property is subject to seizure and forfeiture to the United States for the reasons alleged in the complaint.

The defendant property is currently in the possession, custody, or control of the United States.

In these circumstances, Supplemental Rule G(3)(b)(i) directs the Clerk of the Court to issue an arrest warrant in rem for the defendant property.

Supplemental Rule G(3)(c)(i) provides that the warrant of arrest in rem must be delivered to a person or organization authorized to execute it who may be a marshal or any other United States officer or employee, someone under contract with the United States, or someone specially appointed by the court for that purpose.

Case 1:19-cv-00251 Document 1-2 Filed 06/11/19 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 10

YOU ARE COMMANDED to do the following:

1. To arrest the defendant property as soon as practicable [see Rule G(3)(c)(ii)

for exceptions for serving as soon as practicable] by serving a copy of this warrant on the

custodian in whose possession, custody or control the property is presently found, and to

use whatever means may be appropriate to protect and maintain it in your custody until

further order of this Court.

2. Promptly after execution of this process, to file the same in this Court with

your return thereon, identifying the individual(s) upon whom copies were served and the

manner employed.

Dated:

DAVID O'TOOLE

Clerk of the Court United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas

By:		
•	Deputy Clerk	

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04) Case 1:19-cv-00251 Document 1-3 Filed 96/11/19 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

· ·	<u> </u>	-			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
United States of America		\$377,000.00 in U	\$377,000.00 in U.S. currency		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		NOTE: IN LAN	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)			
	nart, USAO, 350 Magnolia Ave, Suite 150 77701 (409) 839-2538				
		II. CITIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
■ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi		
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	1 2		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a	of Business In A	Another State □ 6 □ 6	
W. MARKING OF CHI		Foreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & PERSONAL INJURY □ 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice □ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health ☑ 690 Other LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN Original Proceeding Original Proceeding					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are This is an in rem civil forfeiture process Brief description of cause:	filing (Do not cite jurisdiction eeding pursuant to 18 USC	al statutes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	DRNEY OF RECORD			
06/11/2019	/s/ Micha	el W. Lockhart			
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	